Stability of water bells.
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Abstract

Water bells appear when a cylindrical liquid jet impacts normally onto a disc of similar diameter. First observed and described experimentally by Felix Savart, their stationary shape was analytically obtained by Joseph Boussinesq. Here we consider the stability of these bells and derive a general stability criterion showing their sensitivity to both the pressure difference across the liquid sheet and to the ejection angle from the impacting disc. In this later case, we find a critical angle of ejection above which the bell is periodically destroyed and created.
Historically, the study of liquid sheets was initiated by Felix Savart in 1833 \cite{1}, \cite{2}, \cite{3}, \cite{4}, following the idea that the precise observation of fluid motion could lead to the understanding of the properties of liquids. These problems are still of interest for practical applications, since the formation of liquid sheets and their stability represent an important element of the atomization process involved, for example, in all engines where the liquid fuel burns as drops. Indeed, industrial observations reveal that the antepenultimate step of the drop production mechanism often consists of the formation and destabilisation of liquid sheets. These observations have already initiated several studies \cite{5}, \cite{6}, \cite{7}, and initially motivated the present one. From the physical side, the originality of this work does not specifically rest on the description of the stationary shape of the bells but rather on the general stability argument that follows. To our knowledge, the stability of bells has never been considered and the self-oscillating regime never reported.

Prior to the stability, we first consider the problem of the impact of a cylindrical liquid jet of diameter $D_0$ [$L$] \cite{14}, with the velocity $U_0$ [$LT^{-1}$] normally to a disc of diameter $D_i$ [$L$], under the gravity field $g$ [$LT^{-2}$]. The liquid being defined by its density $\rho$ [$ML^{-3}$], viscosity $\nu$ [$L^2T^{-1}$] and surface tension $\sigma$ [$MT^{-2}$]\cite{15}, for similarity purposes, we characterize the initial fluid state with the non dimensional Reynolds, $Re \equiv U_0 D_0 / \nu$, and the Weber, $We \equiv \rho U_0^2 D_0 / \sigma$, numbers that respectively compare inertia to, viscosity and surface tension. Depending on the geometrical diameter ratio $X \equiv D_i / D_0$, several scenarios can be expected: In the singular limit $X = 0$, the jet undergoes the classical capillary Savart-Plateau-Rayleigh instability \cite{1}, \cite{8}, \cite{9}. The opposite limit $X \gg 1$, leads to the so called hydraulic jump phenomenon, where a thick and calm layer of fluid is connected to the jet through a thin and rapid layer. The location of the jump critically depends on both the injection parameters and on the limit conditions at infinity \cite{2}, \cite{10}. In the intermediate domain $X \sim 1$, Savart \cite{2}, \cite{3} has shown that one can observe symmetrical water bells such as the one presented in figure 1.

The experimental setup used to study these bells is presented in figure 2. Flowing in a closed loop to maintain its physical characteristics constant ($\rho$, $\nu$ and $\sigma$), the liquid is initially contained in a pressurized reservoir. A flow meter AALBORG enables the accurate control of the jet velocity $U_0$, defined as the ratio of the flow-rate to the exit section area. We use high contraction injectors to achieve a laminar top hat profile jet up to Reynolds numbers of the order of 30000. The contraction and acceleration of the jet prior to the
impact is neglected in the whole paper since the Froude number \( Fr = gh/U_0^2 \), based the distance \( h \) from the nozzle to the impactor, never exceeded \( 10^{-2} \). A back light scattering method is used to illuminate the bells and we observe their stability with a high speed video camera Kodak 4500HS coupled with a PC.

Theoretically, we first focus on the stationary shape of water bells, using the notations presented in figure 1. Since \( X \sim 1 \), we neglect the viscous losses on the disc and assume that the liquid film is ejected at \((r = r_i = D_i/2, z = 0)\) with the velocity \( U_0 \) and forming the angle \( \psi_0 \) with the \( z \) axis at the detaching point.

Using \( U_0 \) and \( l = D_0 We/16 \) as the characteristic speed and length, G.I.Taylor [11] has shown that the conservation of mass and momentum enable the determination of the bell shape through the integration of the nondimensional system:

\[
\tilde{u}^2 = 1 + 2\beta\tilde{z},
\]

and

\[
(\tilde{u} - \tilde{r}) \frac{d\psi}{d\tilde{s}} = -\cos(\psi) + \alpha\tilde{r} - \beta\frac{\sin(\psi)}{\tilde{u}},
\]

where \( \alpha \equiv pl/(2\sigma) \) is the reduced pressure difference between the inside and the outside of the bell and \( \beta \equiv gl/U_0^2 \) the reduced gravity. All the dimensionless quantities are noticed with a tilde except angles. \( \tilde{s} \) stands for the reduced curvilinear location and \( \tilde{u} \) for the reduced velocity in the liquid sheet.

Physically, equation (1) just express the increase of momentum in the direction of the flow due to the acceleration of gravity and equation (2) the equilibrium of the liquid sheet in the direction normal to the flow when submitted to centrifugal acceleration, curvature effects, pressure difference and gravity.

This system of equations (1) and (2) has to be integrated with the initial conditions \( \tilde{r}(0) = \tilde{r}_i \) and \((d\tilde{r}/d\tilde{z})_{\tilde{z}=0} = \tan(\psi_0)\).

In the limit \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \beta \gg 1 \), where there is no pressure difference and where the effect of gravity overcomes surface tension, the system (1) and (2) leads to the paraboloid:

\[
\tilde{z} = \frac{\beta}{2} (\tilde{r} - \tilde{r}_i)^2.
\]

In that limit, the fluid particles at the edge of the disc are independent and fall under their own weight.
In the limit $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta \ll 1$, surface tension effects dominate and the integration of the system (1) and (2) leads to the catenary:

$$\tilde{r} = 1 - c_1 \cosh \left( \frac{\tilde{z} - c_2}{c_1} \right),$$

(4)

with the constants of integration:

$$c_1 = (1 - \tilde{r}_i) \cos(\psi_0) \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 = c_1 \ln \left( \frac{1 + \sin(\psi_0)}{\cos(\psi_0)} \right),$$

(5)

This solution was first published 36 years after Savart’s work by Joseph Boussinesq [12],[13]. Compared to the paraboloid, this later shape exhibits a symmetry with regard to the equatorial plane defined by $d\tilde{r}/d\tilde{z} = 0$. This symmetry is broken as soon as gravity starts to play a role [16].

According to the nondimensional equation (2), the effect of gravity is of the order $\beta$ compared to the effect of surface tension which implies that the gravitational and the capillary domain are separated by the critical value $\beta \equiv glU_0^2 = 1$. Since $l = We/16D_0$, $\beta$ only depends on the ratio $\beta = (D_0/a)^2/8$, where $a \equiv \sqrt{2\sigma/(\rho g)}$ is the capillary length of the liquid-air interface (for water on earth, $a \approx 3.8$ mm). In our applications, $\beta$ never exceeded 0.1 and the shapes (figure 1) clearly exhibit a symmetry with regard to the equatorial plane. Moreover, the condition $\alpha = 0$ was experimentally achieved using a straw connecting both sides of the bell. These conditions justify the comparison of the Boussinesq’s solution to the experimental shapes obtained with an edge detection algorithm presented as a black continuous line in figure 1. If $\psi_0$ is given, this solution compares well with the shape extracted from the pictures as presented in figure 3.

Considering the stability of the closed capillary bells, two different examples of unstable sheets are presented in figure 4 and figure 5. In figure 4, we start the experiment with a stable bell (top left image) similar to the one presented in figure 1 and we progressively decrease the flow-rate. This induces a pressure increase which triggers at one point, a shape transformation and the bursting of the bell. The triggering mechanism is thus removed at the bursting since the pressures are equalized, and if we stop decreasing the flow rate at the beginning of the transformation, the daughter bell (bottom right image) remains stable. If we continue to decrease the velocity, the bell will undergo a similar transformation and we can get up to 10 generations of bells, each of them being smaller than the previous one and larger than the following one.
The phenomenon presented in figure 5 is very different in the sense that the cycle presented reproduces itself periodically without any change of the flow rate or other control parameter. The only difference with the stable bell presented in figure 1 is the ejection angle which is here closer to $\pi/2$. That cycle also leads from a mother bell to a daughter bell, identical to the mother and that will, once closed, undergo the same unstable scenario without any change of the initial conditions. In that particular case, bells are created and destroyed with a frequency close to 2 Hz.

The main observation on the bells stability concerns the influence of the pressure difference, $p$. If that difference is kept equal to zero, the resulting bells always remain stable. The origin of the bells’ stability thus lies in the pressure difference effect, or more precisely in the reaction of the bell to a pressure difference perturbation. Let us first imagine that following a pressure increase inside the bell, the volume of the whole bell increases. In that case, the reaction of the bell tends to compensate the origin of the perturbation and one expects the bell to remain stable. On the contrary, if the bell volume decreases following a pressure increase, the bell reaction amplifies the perturbation and one may expect, at the end, the full bursting of the bell. This analysis leads to the stability criterion:

$$\frac{dV}{dp} \geq 0,$$

where $V$ is the volume of the closed bell. Looking at the system (1) and (2) in the limit $\beta \ll 1$, we get that $V \equiv l^3 \pi \int_{0}^{\tilde{z}_{max}} \tilde{r}^2 d\tilde{z}$ defined using the maximal location on $z$, $\tilde{z}_{max}$, is a function of three parameters $V(l, \alpha, \psi_0)$. Noticing that $l$ and $p$ are independent, the stability criterion (6) can be written:

$$\frac{d\tilde{V}}{dp} = \frac{1}{l^3} \frac{dV}{dp} = \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \alpha} \right)_{\psi_0} \frac{l}{2\sigma} + \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{V}}{\partial \psi_0} \right)_{\alpha} \frac{d\psi_0}{dp}.$$  

We first concentrate on the case where the first term in the right end side of equation (7) dominates, that is where $\psi_0$ almost remains constant and where the driving mechanism is the pressure difference $\alpha$. For different ejection angles $\psi_0$, the evolution $\tilde{V}(\alpha)$, obtained through the numerical integration of the system (1) and (2), is presented in figure 6. These curves all exhibit a maximum, the value of which increases when the angle $\psi_0$ is decreased. According to equation (7), one deduces that the corresponding bells are stable for the small values of $\alpha$ and become unstable once the maximum is passed. Since the bursting of the
bell leads to $\alpha = 0$, one expects the instability to occur once. This limit corresponds to the instability presented in figure 4.

We now concentrate on the limit where the second term in equation (7) dominates, that is around $\alpha = 0$, where according to figure 6 $(\partial \tilde{V}/\partial \alpha)_{\psi_0} = 0$. Making the statement that $\psi_0$ results from the local balance of forces at the point of detachment, one deduces that $d\psi_0/dp > 0$ and the stability of the bell only depends on the sign of $(\partial \tilde{V}/\partial \psi_0)_{\alpha=0}$. For $\alpha = 0$, we use the catenary of Boussinesq to evaluate $\tilde{V}(\psi_0)$. This evolution is presented in figure 7 and clearly exhibits a maximum value at $\psi_0^* = 78.8^\circ$. That value separates the stable bells ($\psi_0 < \psi_0^*$) where $(\partial \tilde{V}/\partial \psi_0)_{\alpha=0} > 0$ from the unstable ones ($\psi_0 > \psi_0^*$) where $(\partial \tilde{V}/\partial \psi_0)_{\alpha=0} < 0$.

In this later case, the instability also leads to the bursting of the bell which keep $\alpha = 0$ but does not affect the origin of the instability, $\psi_0 > \psi_0^*$. One thus expects the instability to reproduce itself continuously. This limit corresponds to the instability presented in figure 5. The period $T$, of the instability is presented in figure 8 as a function of the regeneration time $l/U_0$. This figure suggests a linear relationship $T \approx 13.5 l/U_0$.

[8] J. Plateau, Statique expérimentale et théorique des liquides., (Gauthier-Villars et Cie. (1873)).
FIG. 1: Water bell obtained with $D_0 = 3$ mm, $U_0 = 2.08$ m/s and $D_i = 7.33$ mm.


[15] For water, $\rho = 1000$ kg/m$^3$, $\nu = 10^{-6}$ m$^2$/s and $\sigma = 0.073$ kg/s$^2$.

[16] Looking at horizontal bells, G. I. Taylor [11] has shown that air entrainment can also break the symmetry of the bells.
FIG. 2: Experimental set up.

FIG. 3: Comparison between the Boussinesq catenary solution and the water bell shape extracted from figure 2.
FIG. 4: Instability triggered by a pressure decrease, observed with $\psi_0 = 77^\circ$, $D_0 = 3.0$ mm, $D_i = 9.87$ mm and $U_0 = 2.7$ m/s. Time increases from left to right and from top to bottom with the time step $\Delta t = 35.5$ ms.
FIG. 5: Instability characterized by a large angle of ejection $\psi_0 = 87^\circ$, observed with $D_0 = 3.0$ mm, $D_1 = 10.0$ mm and $U_0 = 2.21$ m/s. Time increases from left to right and from top to bottom with the time step $\Delta t = 27.7$ ms.
FIG. 6: Evolution of the nondimensional volume $\tilde{V}$ with the pressure $\alpha$, for different values of $\psi_0$: $\bigcirc$ 65°, $\triangle$ 70°, $\triangledown$ 75°, $\square$ 80°, $\diamondsuit$ 85°.
FIG. 7: Evolution of the nondimensional volume $\tilde{V}$ with the ejection angle $\psi_0$. 
FIG. 8: Evolution of the self-sustain oscillation period $T$, with the characteristic time of regeneration $1/U_0$. 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>m1</td>
<td>13.548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chisq</td>
<td>0.0040965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.96711</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>